



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,359	04/23/2001		Hajime Kandou	36856.472	3808
7	590	01/29/2003			
Keating & Bennett LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 312 10400 Eaton Place				ADDISON, KAREN B	
Fairfax, VA 2	2030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2834 DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		JAn-	0 % .				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/840,359	KANDOU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Karen B Addison	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 ∧</u>	lovember 2002 .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1,3-14 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/26/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		• •					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	n No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the priori application.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	'		1).				
a) The translation of the foreign language prov	visional application has been rece	eived.	-				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/840,359

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadota(5432932) in view of Kadota ("Combination of zno film and quartz to realized large coupling factor and Excellent Temperature Cofficient for the Saw device" IEEE 1997 UltraSonic Symposium).

Kadota substantially discloses the claim invention in fig.1b-16 the however, Kadota (5432932) do not disclose a substrate made of quartz.

Kadota teach (IEEE 1997 Ultra-Sonic Symposium 262-266) a saw device comprising: IDT electrodes and substrate made of quart material for the purpose of obtaining a good temperature coefficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to modify the saw device of Kadota with the substrate of Kadota (Ultra-Sonic Symposium) for the purpose of miniaturizing the saw device and obtaining a good temperature coefficient.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the range of the PFA at \pm 2.5° since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclose in the prior art, discovering the

Application/Control Number: 09/840,359

Art Unit: 2834

optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. IN re Aller, 105 USPQ

233.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-14 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-

5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431

for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

KBA

January 22, 2003

NESTOR RAMIREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 3